

BROWNRAYSMAN

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINERW

1880 Century Park East, Suite 711 Los Angeles, CA 90067

> Voice: 310-712-8300 Fax: 310-712-8383

FROM:

Ivan Posey

DATE:

February 23, 2004

PHONE:

310-712-8311

CLIENT/MATTER#:

10487-1

PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO:

RECOMMENT

COMPANY

Fax No.

PHONE NO.

Stefano Karmis Examiner

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

703.872.9306

703.305.8130

Examiner Art Unit 3624 703.746.9392

Total number of pages including this page: 8
If you do not receive all the pages, please call me at 310-712-8311.

MESSAGE:

Please see attached documents for Applicant's Interview Summary for the interview held with the Examiners on January 28, 2004 for Application Ser. No. 09/491,747.

THIS TRANSMISSION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return this transmission and any copies to us by mail. Thank you.

PTO/CO/01 (09 00)

Please type a plus sig Under the Paperwork F) od beriupe	J.S. Patent and Tradema	rk Office: U.S.	ough 10/31/2002. OMB 0651 DEPARTMENT OF COMMI It displays a valid OMB control n	-0031 ERCE	
TRANSMITTAL FORM				Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor		09/491,747			
						01/27/2000			
						Brad S. Konia			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)				Group	Art Unit	3624	3624		
				Exam	iner Name	Stefano	Stefano Karmis		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6				Attorn	ey Dooket Number	10487/1	10487/1		
			ENÇLO	SURES	(check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal F	Fee Transmittal Form			ment Pa A <i>pplication</i>		_	After Allowance Communication Group		
Fee Attached	Fee Attached			☐ Orawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Response			Licensing-related Papers				Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final			Petition			Propri	etary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)			Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Status	Letter		
Extension of Time Request			Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Enclosure(s) a identify below):			
Express Abandonment Request			Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund			ertficate Of Fax Transmis Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 caminer interview Summa			
Information Disclosure Statement			CD, Number of CD(s)				alliner lillerview summi	пу	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			Remar	***					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application									
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53									
		SIGNA	TURE OF A	\PPLIC	ANT, ATTORNEY, C	R AGENT			
Firm or Individual name	Ivan Posey								
Signature									
Date 02/23/2004				-				_	
			CEF	RTIFICA	TE OF MAILING				
I hereby certify that th	is con	respondence is	being depos	sited with	the United States Pos	tal Service as	first class mail in an enve	ope	
addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: 02/23/2004									
Typed or printed name Ivan Posey									
Signature						Date	02/23/2004	1	

Burden Hour Statement. This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTC/SB/97 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

on February 23, 2004

Date

Ivan Posey

Signature

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

- 1) Fax Cover Sheet (1 page)
- 2) Transmittal Form PTO/\$B/21 (08-00) (1 page)
- 3) Examiner Interview Summary (4 pages)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2

Please type a plus sig			L equired to r	J.S. Patent and Tradema	rk Office: U.S.	PTO/SB/21 (pugh 10/31/2002. OMB 065 DEPARTMENT OF COMM it displays a valid OMB control (1-0031 MERCE	
			Application Number		09/491,747			
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filling)				Filing Date First Named Inventor Group Art Unit		01/27/2000		
						Brad S. Konia 3624		
				Total Number of Page	Attorn	ey Docket Number	10487/1	10487/1
		ENCL	OSURES	(check all that apply)		,		
Fee Transmittal F		ment Pa _l Application		. —	After Allowance Communication t Group			
Fee Attached	☐ Drawin	☐ Drawing(s)			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Res	Licens	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final	After Final				Propri	etary Information		
Affidavits/dec	1	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Letter			
Extension of Time		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Enclosure(s) identify below):			
Express Abandon		☐ Terminal Disclaimer ☐ Request for Refund			ertficate Of Fax Transmi Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8			
Information Disclo		CD, Number of CD(s)			taminer Interview Summ	ary		
Certified Copy of Document(s)	Rema							
Response to Miss						٠		
Response to Parts under S 1.52 or 1.53								
	SIGN	ATURE OF	APPLIC	ANT, ATTORNEY, C	R AGENT			
Firm or Individual name	Ivan Posey							
Signature				<u> </u>	-		•	
Date 02/23/2004								
		CE	RTIFICA	TE OF MAILING				
	•			n the United States Pos		: first class mall in an enve /2004	elope	
Typed or printed nam				.,				
Signature						Date 02/23/2004		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND PEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10487-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Konia

Serial No.:

09/491,747

Examiner: Karmis, Stefano

Filed:

January 27, 2000

Group Art Unit: 3624

Title:

ONLINE AUCTION BID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

AND METHOD

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

This Interview Summary is submitted pursuant to M.P.E.P § 713.04 after a telephone interview held on January 28, 2004. The participants in the interview were Examiner Stefano Karmis, Primary Examiner Hani Kazmimi, Applicant Brad Konia, and counsel for Applicant, Ivan Posey. There were no exhibits or demonstrations presented during the interview. Independent claims 1, 11, 23 and 24 were discussed, and the specific prior art discussed was U.S. Patent No. 5,835,896 (Fisher), and Fisher in combination with U.S. Patent No. 6,269,361 (Davis).

Applicant has already filed an Amendment And Response ("Response") to the Last Office Action, dated December 23, 2003, on February 4, 2004 containing a summary of the January 28, 2004 interview. However, Applicant received an Examiner's Interview Summary (form PTOL-413) dated February 10, 2004. Applicant submits this Interview Summary as required when Applicant receives an Examiner's Interview Summary subsequent to replying to the last Office Action.

BRMFSLA 41758

Attorney Docket No.: 10487-1

Serial No. 09/491,747

The Applicant thanks the Examiner and the Primary Examiner for the courtesy extended to Applicant and his counsel in the January 28, 2004 interview. In the interview, the merits of the rejections of the case were discussed. Specifically, the invention was discussed in view of the new rejections of the claims over Fisher, and Fisher in combination with Davis. With respect to independent claims 1 and 11, Applicant's counsel explained that, although Fisher does disclose a "proxy bid" system that allows the auction manager to automatically bid on the bidder's behalf, Fisher, even in combination with Davis, fails to disclose a system or method which checks for whether a bidder's bid is too high for a specific position of priority or ranking that a bidder wishes to maintain in an auction. Further, Fisher and Davis, alone or in combination, fail to disclose a system or method which automatically decrements or lowers a bid if the bid is found to be higher than needed to maintain a selected position of priority or ranking in an auction.

Applicant's counsel explained that this feature has particular significance in continuous type auctions, such as in search engines where bidders bid on advertising rankings for terms. In non-continuous auctions, bidding occurs for a set period until a product is sold. In continuous auctions, bidding continues indefinitely. In the search engine example, payments may be assessed at the bid price every time a search engine user clicks on the their search engine listing, or every time the bidder's listing is included in a search. Even though savings are realized in a non-continuous auction using the bidreducing feature of the present invention, in the continuous auction context, savings may be even more pronounced as payments accumulate over time.

Applicant's counsel further explained that, while it is possible in the Davis system

Attorney Docket No.: 10487-1 Serial No. 09/491,747

to manually check a bid position or ranking (see Col. 19, 11. 38-58), the *Davis* system does not <u>automatically</u> check the bidder's position. Further, *Davis* provides no means to <u>automatically lower a bidder's bid</u> if the bid is higher than necessary to maintain the desired position or ranking for the bidder. Again, especially in the continuous auction context, it would be very time consuming for a bidder to constantly check to see if their bid is at the minimum necessary to maintain their priority position using the *Davis* system, even in view of the newly cited *Fisher* system, which does not have any means for automatically checking and lowering a bidder's bid if the bid is higher than necessary. Without such a feature, if the bidder does not constantly take the time to perform this check, then the bidder may pay considerably more than is necessary to maintain their position.

Applicant's counsel agreed to amend claims 1 and 11 to include the feature of automatically reducing a bid if the bid is found to be higher than needed to maintain a selected position of priority in the auction, and has done so in the February 4, 2004 Response.

With respect to claim 23, Applicant explained that Fisher and Davis, individually, combined, or in combination with the other cited art, fail to disclose a system for automatically managing bids in an auction in which vendors complete for selling products or services to buyers. The invention of claim 23 provides a system in which a vendor is able to provide information for the system to automatically submit bids for selling, which are automatically lowered if the vendor does not have the desired priority for selling.

With respect to claims 24-25, the Examiners imposed a Restriction Requirement.

Attorney Docket No.: 10487-1 Serial No. 09/491,747

Applicant's counsel traversed and elected to prosecute claims 1-23 in this application, while reserving the right to re-submit claims 24-25 in a divisional application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 23, 2004

IVAN POSEY Reg. No. 43,865

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER

& STEINER LLP

1880 Century Park East, Suite 711 Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 712-8300

IMP